

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/787,297 | 02/27/2004 | Hao Xue | 51085-6 /slb | 6561 | |
| 7380 SMART & BI | 7590 06/26/200 GGAR | 8 | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 299 | 9, STATION D | | NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG | | |
| 900-55 METO OTTAWA, OI | CALFE STREET N K 1P5Y6 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CANADA | | | 2618 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/26/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/787,297 | XUE ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| TUAN H. NGUYEN | 2618 | | |

| | TUAN H. NGUYEN | 2618 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| I. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076. | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Nay A. Maung/ | /πι | uan Nguyen/ | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618 | Ar | t Unit 2618 71-272-8329 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed claimed languages raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.